IER Equalities Conference -Liverpool

Equality & the Law – recent cases and precedents

10 May 2011 Jo Seery Thompsons Solicitors



Introduction

- Overview of current position on Equality Act 2010
- Recent developments in case law
- Insight into approaches an ET will take under the Equality Act



Equality Act (1)– Current Position

- Main provisions came into force on 1 October 2010
- All not as it seems:-
 - 17 September 2010 socio-economic duty
 - 2 December 2010 gender pay gap reporting
 - 17 March 2010 specific public sector equality duties
 - 23 March 2010 dual discrimination
 - What next for third party harassment?



Equality Act (2)

• Safe in our hands?



- V -





Associative Discrimination

- Direct discrimination under s.13
 - "a person is subject to direct discrimination if they are treated less favourably because of a protected characteristic"
- Associative discrimination and disability <u>EBR Attridge Law and ors -v- Coleman</u>
- Does it apply to other protected characteristics?

– Kulikaoskas –v- MacDuff Shellfish & anor



Direct Discrimination and the Comparator Test

- S.23 Not materially different circumstances
- Disability comparator = Someone who does not have a disability

What about behaviour connected to disability?

- <u>Aitken –v- Commissioner of Police of the</u> <u>Metropolis EAT</u>
- <u>Aylott –v- Stockton-on-Tees Borough Council</u>
 <u>CA</u>
 THOMPSONS

Perception Discrimination

- English –v- Thomas Sanderson Blinds EAT
 - Test is, what is the reason for the treatment?
 - Does this apply to disability discrimination?
- <u>Aitken –v- Commissioner of Police of the</u> <u>Metropolis EAT</u>
- <u>J-v- DLA Piper EAT</u>



Indirect Discrimination

- S.19
 - where a PCP puts those who share a PC at a particular disadvantage, the worker is put at a disadvantage and the PCP cannot be justified
- Maternity returning to work part time
- Hacking and Paterson and anor –v-Wilson EAT



Dual Discrimination

- *Ministry of Defence –v- DeBique EAT*
- Justification
 - Is it just a matter of cost?
- <u>Woodcock v Cumbria Primary Care</u> <u>Trust EAT</u>



Third Party Harassment

- Will the employer be able to escape liability?
- <u>Weeks –v- Commissioner of Police for</u> <u>Metropolis ET Case</u>
- <u>Gravell –v- London Borough of Bexley</u> <u>EAT</u>
- Lisboa –v- Realpubs Ltd and ors EAT



Conclusion

• What lies ahead?

